VMM Emulation of Intel Hardware Transactional Memory

Maciej Swiech, Kyle Hale, Peter Dinda

Northwestern University

V3VEE Project

www.v3vee.org



Hobbes Project

What will we talk about?

- We added the capability to run Intel HTM code on a virtual machine with minimal emulation
- We developed a new page-flipping technique that allows capturing of reads and writes at single memory reference granularity
- Software implementation of HTM emulation allows for arbitrary transaction size and code testing

Outline

- Motivation / Background
- Intel HTM
- Architecture
- Palacios
- Evaluation
- Conclusions

Outline

- Motivation / Background
- Intel HTM
- Architecture
- Palacios
- Evaluation
- Conclusions

Motivation | transactional memory

- Processors and applications become more parallel and distributed to cope with growing scale of data and research problems
- Need for easier and more reliable methods for concurrent programming

do_the_things();

do_the_things() {
 write_shared_mem();
 read_shared_mem();
}

Instead of:

acquire_lock();

do_the_things();

release_lock();

do_the_things() {
 write_shared_mem();
 read_shared_mem();
}

Instead of:

acquire_lock();

do_the_things();

release_lock();

Have to track locks

Deadlock

acquire_lock();

do_the_things();

release lock();

Can do:

transaction {
 do_the_things();
}

acquire_lock();

Unsafe concurrent memory accesses are detected by TM

Easier to write safe code

Can do:

transaction {
 do_the_things();
}

UNSAFE: Write after Read Read after Write Write after Write

- Transactions are
 - Composable
 - Easier to reason about
 - More optimistic than locking
 - Assumption: no other code will touch memory in TX
- HTM is faster than STM

Motivation | virtualizing

- Currently only Intel Haswell and IBM chipsets have implementations of Hardware Transactional Memory
- Adding HTM capabilities to a virtual machine monitor would allow anyone to run transactional code
- Allows for testing effects of new hardware implementations on code

Outline

- Motivation / Background
- Intel HTM
- Architecture
- Palacios
- Evaluation
- Conclusions

Intel HTM | background

- In the Haswell generation of processors Intel introduced 2 Hardware Transactional Memory implementations
 - RTM Restricted Transactional Memory
 - HLE Hardware Lock Elision
- 4 new instructions added to the ISA
 - XBEGIN
 - XABORT
 - XEND
 - XTEST

Intel HTM | ISA

- XBEGIN imm32
 - Marks beginning of a transaction and abort label
- XABORT imm32
 - Forces transaction abort
- XEND
 - Marks end of transaction
- XTEST
 - Tests if processor is currently in a transaction state

Intel HTM | example

start_label: XBEGIN abort_label <body of transaction, may use XABORT> XEND

```
success label:
```

<handle transaction commited>

abort_label:

Intel HTM | specification

- Intel list many reasons a transaction "may" abort
 - Operations that modify RIP, GPRs, status flags
 - Operations on XMM, YMM, MXCSR registers
 - Various other instructions
 - Synchronous exception events
 - Asynchronous events such as interrupts
 - Self-modifying code
 - Many others...
- RaW, WaR, WaW conflicts trigger an abort

Outline

- Motivation / Background
- Intel HTM
- Palacios
- Architecture
- Evaluation
- Conclusions

Architecture | design

- Hypervisor extension
 - TM events captured and handled in VMM
- Redo-log based design with garbage collection
- Minimal instruction decoding

Architecture | design

- MIME
 - Generate stream of memory read/writes
- RTME
 - Maintains the redo log
 - Tracks system state
- Conflict Detection
- Garbage Collection

Architecture | RTME

Restricted Transactional Memory Engine

- Finite State Machine model
 - SYSTEM state
 - CORE state
- TSX instructions generate #UD exceptions, driving state
- Maintains read/write logs for each transaction

Architecture | RTME

Restricted Transactional Memory Engine

- Keeps track of per-core and system transactional state
- Places cores in single-stepping mode
 - If one core single-stepping, all cores
- Launches garbage collection of log entries

start_label:

XBEGIN abort_label

<body of transaction, may use XABORT>

XEND

```
success label:
```

<handle transaction commited>

abort_label:

System in TM mode

start_label:

XBEGIN abort label

Core in TM mode

<body of transaction, may use XABORT>

XEND

```
success_label:
```

<handle transaction commited>

abort_label:

start_label:
 XBEGIN abort_label

 XBEGIN abort_label

 XEND
 success_label:

 Anndle trai
abort_label:

 Monitor abort conditions

 (incl. XABORT)
 Maintain redo-log

Architecture | example Restricted Memory E

Restricted Transactional Memory Engine

```
start_label:
    XBEGIN abort_label
    <body of transaction, may use XABORT>
    XEND
    Success_lat
    <handl.
    CHECK WaW conflicts
    CHECK RaW conflicts
    CHECK WaR conflicts
    CHECK WaR conflicts
    abort_label:
```







```
start_label:
    XBEGIN abort_label
    <body of transaction, may use XABORT>
    XEND
success_label:
    <handle tra
    abort_label:
    flany abort condition is triggered
    Runs at given code point
```

<handle transaction aborted>

All intermediate state is discarded

Architecture | MIME

Memory and Instruction Meta Engine

- Leverages
 - Shadow Page Table page fault hooking
 - Instruction length decoding
 - Hypercall insertion
- \rightarrow Memory access single-stepping
- Staging page to keep writes hidden until commit

Memory and Instruction Meta Engine

prev: addq %rbx, %rax

cur: INSTRUCTION

next: movq %rdx, %rbx

• • •

target:

• • •

Memory and Instruction Meta Engine

prev: addq %rbx, %rax

cur: **INSTRUCTION**

next: movq %rdx, %rbx

. . .

target:

Decode instruction length...

• • •

Memory and Instruction Meta Engine

prev: addq %rbx, %rax

cur: **INSTRUCTION**

next: VMCALL

• • •

target:

...replace next instr with hypercall

•••

Memory and Instruction Meta Engine

prev: addq %rbx, %rax

cur: **INSTRUCTION**

next: VMCALL

Flush the shadow page tables

All guest mem access \rightarrow page fault

• • •

target:

• • •

Memory and Instruction Meta Engine

prev: addq %rbx, %rax

cur: **INSTRUCTION**

next: VMCALL

IFETCH \rightarrow sPT fault

Map the instruction page in

• • •

target:

• • •

Memory and Instruction Meta Engine

prev: addq %rbx, %rax

- cur: **INSTRUCTION**
- next: VMCALL
- • •

target:

Read: map page in as read-only Write: map staging page in

Read: record address Write: record address and value

Memory and Instruction Meta Engine

prev: addq %rbx, %rax

cur: INSTRUCTION

next: VMCALL

Signals end of instruction If staging page was used, copy data into redo log

• • •

target:

• • •

Memory and Instruction Meta Engine

prev: addq %rbx, %rax

cur: INSTRUCTION

next: movq %rdx, rbx

• • •

target:

Restore overwritten instruction

• • •

saved instr: NULL

Memory and Instruction Meta Engine

addq %rbx, %rax

prev: INSTRUCTION

cur: movq %rdx, rbx

• • •

target:

MIME begins again

saved instr: NULL

Memory and Instruction Meta Engine

prev: addq %rbx, %rax

cur: **INSTRUCTION**

next: movq %rdx, rbx

• • •

target: VMCALL

If cur is a control flow inst, overwrite target instead of next

• • •

saved instr: ...

Architecture | conflict checking

- All transactions are given a number, which serves as a context and gives the transactions an ordering
- 2 additional 2D hash tables are maintained
 - Record during which system state (TX number) memory accesses were made
 - Record if accesses were reads or writes

Architecture | conflict checking

- On a transaction end, every access in the RTME log is checked against the conflict tables
- If conflict is detected, transaction is aborted

Architecture | Garbage Collection

- Log entries and collision hashes will keep growing
 - Garbage collection is needed
- Garbage collection is launched at transaction end
- Transaction number context is monotonically increasing on each core
 - Easy to determine accesses made during contexts no longer referenced

Outline

- Motivation / Background
- Intel HTM
- Architecture
- Palacios
- Evaluation
- Conclusions

Palacios | background

- OS-independent, open source, BSD-licensed, publicly available embeddable VMM
- Collaborative community resource development project involving Northwestern University, the University of New Mexico, University of Pittsburgh, Sandia National Labs, and Oak Ridge National Lab
- Currently leveraged for Hobbes Node Virtualization Layer





Palacios |

- HTM implementation could be added to any hypervisor with shadow page table fault hooking
 - No instruction emulation necessary
- ~1300 lines of code
- RTME/MIME available as patchset

Outline

- Motivation / Background
- Intel HTM
- Architecture
- Palacios
- Evaluation
- Conclusions

Evaluation |

• RTME/MIME vs Intel Haswell

• RTME/MIME and Intel SDE vs 'native'

- HP Proliant DL320e
- 1x quad-core Intel Xeon E3-1720v3
- 8GB RAM.
- Fedora 20 with a 3.13.5 kernel

- Microbenchmark
 - One thread pinned to a single core
 - Enters a transaction, writes to a memory location, and then exits the transaction.
 - Benchmark measures the time spent running 10 such transactions,
 - Runtime averaged over 100 runs.

HTM implementation	Average runtime
RTME/MIME	853.88 usec
Intel Haswell	2.57 usec

HTM implementation	Average runt	Only during TX
RTME/MIME	853.88 use	$\propto 20/$ as we where d
Intel Haswell	2.57 usec	otherwise
		other wise

Evaluation | correctness

- Dell PowerEdge R415
- 2x quadcore AMD Opteron 4122 installed
- 16 GB of memory.
- Fedora 15 with a 2.6.38 kernel
- 2 virtual cores
- BusyBox environment based on Linux kernel 2.6.38
- This machine does not have an HTM implementation.

Evaluation | correctness

- Suite of micro-benchmarks
 - Transaction calls XABORT not having written to memory
 - Transaction calls XABORT after having "written" to memory
 - Transaction writes memory with an immediate value
 - Transaction reads memory into a register
 - Transaction writes a register to memory
 - Transaction reads and writes the same memory location
 - Transaction thread writes to distinct addresses
 - Transaction and non-transactional thread write to overlapping addresses.
- Threads written using pthreads

Evaluation | correctness

- All test-cases run on RTME/MIME and Intel SDE 5.31.0
- All test-cases run (without TSX instructions) on the host

Emulation Method	Slowdown vs. Native	
RTME/MIME	~1,500x	~60x faster
Intel SDE 5.31.0	~90,000x	Taster

Outline

- Motivation / Background
- Intel HTM
- Palacios
- Architecture
- Evaluation
- Conclusions

Conclusion |

- Developed RTME/MIME system
 - Software implemented HTM emulation system
- Developed MIME
 - Novel page-flipping 'single stepping' technique
- Performance
 - Run significantly faster than emulation

Conclusion | limitations

- Page boundaries
 - No support for instructions or memory accesses that cross page boundaries
- Read-after-Write accesses
 - sPT hooking doesn't allow detection of RaW
 - Fine for correctness of implementation
- REP prefix
 - No support for instructions with multiple accesses

Conclusion | future work

- Extend MIME
 - Leverage instruction recording to capture detailed memory traces of application runs
 - Include support for breakpoints / stack traces to aid with concurrent debugging tools

Conclusion | future work

 Leverage software cache to test limitations on transaction size



NatSys Labs

Acknowledgements |

- NU EECS 441 HTM Team
 - Marcel Flores
 - Zachary Bischof
- Quix86 x86 decoder team
 - Alexander Kudryavtsev
 - Michael Solovyov

- HTM emulation with minimal instruction emulation
- Page-flipping technique for capturing memory accesses at memory access granularity
- Software controlled HTM emulation implementation for testing

Maciej Swiech <<u>mswiech@u.northwestern.edu</u>> <u>http://eecs.northwestern.edu/~msw978</u>

Prescience Lab: <u>www.presciencelab.org</u> V3VEE Project: <u>www.v3vee.org</u>