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What is MPI – Message Passing Interface?

• An open standard library interface for message passing, ratified by the MPI Forum
  • Versions: 1.0 (’94), 1.1 (’95), 1.2 (’97), 2.0 (’97), 1.3 (’08), 2.1 (’08), 2.2 (’09), 3.0 (probably ’12)

• Common misconceptions:
  • MPI parallelizes your application
  • MPI is for distributed memory only
  • MPI (a library interface) is not scalable
  • MPI is fundamentally slower than PGAS etc.
  • Really, if you don’t know what MPI is, you won’t enjoy this talk 😊
What is this MPI Forum?

• An open Forum to discuss MPI
  • You can join! No membership fee, no perks either
• Since 2008 meetings every two months for three days (switching to four months and four days)
  • 5x in the US, once in Europe (with EuroMPI)
• Votes by organization, eligible after attending two of the three last meetings, often unanimously
• Everything is voted twice in two distinct meetings
  • Tickets as well as chapters
How does the MPI-3.0 process work

• Organization and Mantras:
  • Chapter chairs (convener) and (sub)committees
  • Avoid the “Designed by a Committee” phenomenon → standardize common practice
  • 99.5% backwards compatible

• Adding new things:
  • Review and discuss early proposals in chapter
  • Bring proposals to the forum (discussion)
  • Plenary formal reading (usually word by word)
  • Two votes on each ticket (distinct meetings)
  • Final vote on each chapter (finalizing MPI-3.0)
Now to the technical part 😊

- Topology Mapping (MPI-2.2)
- Nonblocking and Neighborhood Collectives
- Matched Probe
- MPI Tool interface
- New One Sided Functions and Semantics
- New Communicator Creation Functions
- Improvements in Language Bindings
- Fault Tolerance/Resiliency
Topology Mapping in MPI-2.2

- Specify application/algorithm communication topology via virtual topology creation functions (since MPI-1.0)
  - MPI_Cart_create() – builds a k-dimensional Cartesian application topology, very scalable
  - MPI_Dist_graph_create() – replaces non-scalable MPI_Graph_create() with a scalable version
  - MPI_Dist_graph_create_adjacent() – even more scalable but all processes specify all neighbors
- How does it map to a topology?

Hoefler et al.: The Scalable Process Topology Interface of MPI 2.2, CCPE Journal 2010
Example Mappings

Physical Topology:

Application Topology:

Mapping 1:

Mapping 2:
Why do I care?

- Increase performance or decrease energy consumption!
  - Performance: reduce maximum congestion
  - Energy: reduce average dilation
- The general problem is NP-complete (ND17)
- Heuristics are known, algorithms for special cases to be discovered!
- Portable research-quality implementation in LibTopoMap [1]

[1]: Hoefler and Snir: Generic Topology Mapping Strategies for Large-scale Parallel Architectures  ICS’11
Nonblocking Collective Operations

- E.g., MPI_Ibcast(…, &req); MPI_Wait(&req);
- Simple to understand, some things to note:
  - Requests are normal MPI_Requests, can be mixed
  - Progress is not guaranteed!
  - The init call must return independently of remote procs
  - All buffers (including arrays for vector colls) shall not be modified (or accessed) until the op completes
  - No matching with blocking collectives
  - Collectives must be called in order (as for threading)

Hoefler et al.: Implementation and Performance Analysis of Non-Blocking Collective Operations for MPI, SC07
Why do I care?

• Easy availability (LibNBC and MPICH2)
• Overlapping communication and computation
  • Improved performance (≤2x though)
  • Sometimes tricky, see [1] (will change)
• Decoupling start and synchronization of collectives
  • Enhanced system noise resiliency
• Interesting synchronization semantics when mixed with point-to-point operations!
  • E.g., limited-depth termination detection [2]

[1]: Hoefler, Lumsdaine: Message Progression in Parallel Computing - To Thread or not to Thread?, Cluster 2008
[2]: Hoefler et al.: Scalable Communication Protocols for Dynamic Sparse Data Exchange, PPoPP’10
Neighborhood Collective Operations

- Many applications are written in a BSP-like model (compute, communicate, compute, …)
  - High temporal locality in communication patterns!
- Specify the communication pattern statically
  - “User-defined collective communication”
  - Cf. MPI Datatypes (who’s using them?)
- Communication along a virtual topology
  - MPI_Neighbor_allgather() – same buffer to all
  - MPI_Neighbor_alltoall() – personalized send buffer
Why do I care?

• Simplified programming
  • MPI stores the communication partners for you.
  • Simple intuitive interface (from an MPI perspective)
• Optimization possibilities (in addition to mapping!)
  • Message scheduling
  • Needs additional information (e.g., comm. volumes)
  • Standard leaves options open (MPI_Info)
• Many applications fit this scheme!
  • All stencil codes on Cartesian grids

More info: Hoefler, Traeff: Sparse Collective Operations for MPI, HIPS’09
Matched Probe

- MPI-2.2 point-to-point communication is not thread safe!

- Easy to fix: return a message handle from probe!
  - Receive this message only through the handle

MPI_Probe(..., status)
size = get_count(status)*size_of(datatype)
buffer = malloc(size)
MPI_Recv(buffer, ...)

More info: Hoefler et al.: Efficient MPI Support for Advanced Hybrid Programming Models, EuroMPI’10
Why do I care?

- Did you try writing a threaded MPI library which is called by a threaded code?
  - It’s a mess!
  - Mprobe cleans this up (a bit)
- Mprobe is actually faster than user-level hacks
  - And much easier to use

```c
MPI_Mprobe(..., msg, status)
size = get_count(status)*size_of(datatype)
buffer = malloc(size)
MPI_Mrecv(buffer, ..., msg, ...)
```

More info: Hoefler et al.: Efficient MPI Support for Advanced Hybrid Programming Models, EuroMPI’10
MPI Tool Interface

• Query (and set) internal MPI variables and counters
  • Variables are not prescribed but queried
  • Control variables (prefix c): behavior
  • Performance variables (prefix p): performance
• Query number of variables \texttt{MPI\_T\_cvar\_get\_num()} and a description with \texttt{MPI\_T\_cvar\_get\_info()}
  • Returns a string (similar to PAPI native events)
• Read and write variables \texttt{MPI\_T\_cvar\_read()} and \texttt{MPI\_T\_cvar\_write()}
Why do I care?

• You probably don’t care unless you are a tool developer – or a fine-tuner 😊
• Query (or change) behavior of MPI implementations
  • E.g., eager limit (auto-tuning?)
• Tools (Periscope, Vampir, Scalasca and friends) can query internal counters
  •Recv queue length, blocking time for rendezvous
One Sided – Remote Memory Access

• Probably the most complex change in MPI-3.0
  • Long history
  • First attempt: re-write it from scratch (ICPP’09)
    • Failed (no support for non-cache coherence)
  • Second attempt: extend MPI-2.0
    • MPI-2.0 is very elegant for non-coherent systems
    • Hard to use and slow on coherent systems
  • Also extend for lock-free programming
    • Atomics (CAS, F&A, F&S), no CAS2
    • No locks! (MPI_Lock is not really a lock)
The Memory Models

• MPI defines a window as an exposed memory region with a public and private copy

• MPI_RMA_SEPARATE
  • Like MPI-2.0, windows can have different values!

• MPI_RMA_UNIFIED
  • Cache-coherent → windows cannot differ
New Window Types (I)

• Allocated Windows: MPI_Win_allocate()
  • MPI library allocates memory, collectively
  • Lower address translation overhead
    • Cf. symmetric heap in SHMEM
• Dynamic Windows: MPI_Win_dynamic()
  • No memory by default, can attach memory locally
    (MPI_Win_attach()/MPI_Win_detach())
  • Cf. memory registration
New Window Types (II)

- MPI_Win_allocate_shared() – collectively allocate shared memory (communicator must allow that!)
  - Fast communication in shared memory (direct access) → be careful, potentially big mess!
  - Allows to reduce memory consumption (share large static structures, e.g., tables)
  - Returns simple memory layout by default, info option to request more complex (but NUMA-aware layout)
MPI RMA Atomics

- Cf. ISA atomics for shared memory
- MPI_Get_accumulate() – MPI look and feel, complex argument set, full datatype support
- MPI_Fetch_and_op() – only for single elements, maps to low-level directives
- MPI_Compart_and_swap() – only single elements, maps to low-level directives
New Completion/Synchronization Semantics

- **MPI_Win_flush{__all}()** – bulk completes all operations to the specified (all) target(s)
- **MPI_Win_flush_local{__all}()** – bulk completes all operations to the specified (all) target(s)
- **MPI_Win_sync()** – synchronize private and public windows
- E.g., **MPI_Rget(..., &req)** returns a request
  - Completion of the request only indicates local completion! (cf. **MPI_Rput()**)
  - Only valid in passive target epochs
Accumulate Ordering and Memory Semantics

- Conflicting put/get accesses are undefined (not erroneous)
- Conflicting accumulates are defined:
  - No order between different pairs of processes
  - Strict order between the same processes
    - Can be relaxed with info argument! (recommended)
- I wish I had the time to talk about semantics 😊
  - Simple rule (C++0x-like): avoid races, they will lead to undefined outcome on the window
Why do I care?

• It’s amazing! (and amazingly complex) 😊
  • It opens a lot of opportunity
  • Think real PGAS algorithms in MPI
• Shared memory windows offer a portable way to shared memory
  • On-node memory savings
• An interesting base for algorithm research
  • Is PGAS really better than message passing?
**New Communicator Creation Functions**

- **Noncollective communicator creation**
  - Allows to create communicators without involving all processes in the parent communicator
  - Very useful for some applications (dynamic sub-grouping) or fault tolerance (dead processes)
- **Nonblocking communicator duplication**
  - MPI_Comm_idup(…, req) – like it sounds
  - Similar semantics to nonblocking collectives
  - Enables the implementation of nonblocking libraries

J. Dinan et al.: Noncollective Communicator Creation in MPI, EuroMPI’11
T. Hoefler: Writing Parallel Libraries with MPI - Common Practice, Issues, and Extensions, Keynote, IMUDI’11
Language Bindings

- Enhanced Fortran Language bindings:
  - Comply with Fortran standard (void * type)
  - Type safety (type-safe handles, not all integers)
  - Enable correct asynchrony (disallow temp copies, code movement etc.)
  - F08 interface to C

- Deprecated C++ bindings
  - Make C++ optional
  - Remove the deprecated bindings (any users?)
Fault Tolerance and Resiliency

- Focus on user-level failure notification
  - No magic at all – enables ABFT
  - Requires robust MPI library
- Management through communicators
  - comm_invalidate, comm_shrink, comm_failure_ack
- Still somewhat in flux
  - Very hard to define and little existing practice
The Future

- Tickets for MPI-<next> plannes:
  - Scalable vector collectives
  - Request completion callbacks
  - Timed requests (complete after timeout)
  - New communicator creation routines (hierarchical)
  - …
- Many cleanups (including errata items)
- No timeline yet
Summary and Questions?

- MPI-3.0 is coming quickly!
- Use-cases are being defined
- For more details and training:

June 17th ISC’12 Tutorial
Hoefler and Schulz: “Next Generation MPI Programming: Advanced MPI-2 and New Features in MPI-3”

- And I will be available for questions today 😊