

spcl.inf.ethz.ch

TORSTEN HOEFLER

Performance Reproducibility in HPC - Challenges and State-of-the-Art



Platform for Advanced Scientific Computing Conference

Lausanne

08-10 June 2016

10.000

CLIMATE & WEATHER SOLID EARTH LIFE SCIENCE CHEMISTRY & MATERIALS PHYSICS COMPUTER SCIENCE & MATHEMATICS ENGINEERING

EMERGING DOMAINS POLSSON & FOUNT

Performance reproducibility is a pipe dream!

- Cannot really be attained in the real world
 - Systems change (especially software versions)
 - Supercomputers are not generally available (think Gordon Bell runs)
 - In general nearly impossible, exceptions may exist



spcl.inf.ethz.ch

- So what now?
 - Performance interpretability as a weaker goal

"We call an experiment interpretable if it provides enough information to allow scientists to understand the experiment, draw own conclusions, assess their certainty, and possibly generalize results." [1]

- Are not all scientific papers interpretable in this definition?
 - Unfortunately not
 - Most are not interpretable and can easily be questioned See survey in [1]



Scientific Benchmarking Guidelines

- The state of the practice is nearly comical
 - Inspired many funny talks/reports
 - Bailey's "12 ways to fool the masses"
 - Nelson Amaral's "How did this get published?"
 - Wellein/Hager "Fooling the masses with performance results"
- We define 12 rules in a State of the Practice paper
- Key points:
 - Careful factorial design
 - Use correct data summarizations
 - Report data variance and distribution
 - Do not assume normality, use nonparametric statistics
 - Measure parallel time correctly



Want to know details?

"Scientific Benchmarking of Parallel Computer Systems"

Thursday 1:30-2pm, Room 18AB

Dealing with non-normal data – nonparametric statistics

- Rank-based measures (no assumption about distribution)
 - Almost always better than assuming normality
- Example: median (50th percentile) vs. mean for HPL
 - Rather stable statistic for expectation
 - Other percentiles (usually 25th and 75th) are also useful



spcl.inf.ethz.ch



Call for action!

- Improve quality of reporting performance results
 - Community effort needed
 - Teach students
 - Enforce at conferences

Continue the discussion

- Look at our experimental methodology very carefully
- Establish minimal quality guidelines
- Discuss in this BoF- now!

